Q-④ The present case represent a dialemma between a desire to help someone in need of critical organ (whom you yet don't know) Y/B following your inner conscience about right or wrong in doing donation of organs (inner satisfaction/belif)

(a) Utilitarian Perspective approach

it states benefiting the largest number of people

In this case, as per utilatarian approach, organs donation of person can help a number of people in need of organ transplantation for instance eye, kidney, liver etc. these organs can put a light into the lifes of few but very significant impact on humanity as ~~out~~ even saving one life is ~~beyond~~ noble act.

Therefore, the person (myself) must donate the organ for the larger interst of Humanity.

Scanned by CamScanner

(b) Kantian Perspective approach

As per deontological approach - rightness or wrongness of the actions does not depend on their consequences but whether they fulfil your moral duty or not.

In the case of organ donation, it is moral duty of a person to help. is need. it is clear that the organ donation is ~~very~~ after death and body becomes just a dormant, it is right to donate the organs as a moral duty to someone who may see a ray of hope in the life

thus both approaches points to the fact that is in the largest interest of humanity (Utilatarian approach) as well as right action (kantian approach) to serve the noble idea of donating organs.

Scanned by CamScanner